Case	8:23-cv-01696-MRA-ADS	Document 78 #:3164	Filed 10/09/25	Page 1 of 6	Page ID		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ROB BONTA Attorney General of Cali MARK BECKINGTON Supervising Deputy Atto ROBERT L. MEYERHOFF (Deputy Attorney Genera 300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 9001 Telephone: (213) 269-6 Fax: (916) 731-2144 E-mail: Robert.Meyerl Attorneys for Rob Bonta Attorney General of the S	fornia orney General SBN 298196) I t, Suite 1702 3-1230 6177 noff@doj.ca.gov in his official co State of Californ		r coliba			
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10			_				
11			Case No. 8:23	8-cv-01798-M	RA-ADS		
12	In re: Senate Bill 2 Li	ITIGATION	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR A				
13					STIPULATED REQUEST FOR A STAY OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FIRST		
14 15			STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRETRIAL AND TRIAL DATES, SET BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND INCREASE WORD LIMITS				
16							
17			Courtroom:	9B	Daminas		
19			Judge:	Hon. Monica Almadani	Kamirez		
20			Trial Date: Action Filed:	None. September 26	5, 2023		
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

STIPULATION Plaintiffs Marco Antonio Carralero, Garrison Ham, Michael Schwartz, Orange County Gun Owners PAC, San Diego County Gun Owners PAC, California Gun Rights Foundation, and the Firearms Policy Coalition (the *Carralero* Plaintiffs), Plaintiffs Reno May, Anthony Miranda, Eric Hans, Gary Brennan, Tony Barretto, Isabelle R. Barretto, Barry Bahrami, Pete Stephenson, Jose Flores, Andrew Harms, Dr. Sheldon Hough, DDS, The Second Amendment Foundation, Gun Owners of America, Gun Owners Foundation, Gun Owners of California, Inc., the Liberal Gun Club, Inc., and the California Rifle & Pistol Association, Incorporated (the May Plaintiffs), and Defendant Rob Bonta in his official capacity as Attorney General of California (Defendant, and collectively with the Carralero and May Plaintiffs, the Parties), hereby stipulate and agree as follows: WHEREAS, the Carralero Plaintiffs, the May Plaintiffs, and Defendant believe that the Supreme Court's resolution of the recently-granted petition for a writ of certiorari in Wolford, et al. v. Lopez, Case No. No. 24-1046 (Wolford) may provide guidance to this Court on the constitutionality of the provisions of Senate Bill 2 challenged in these cases; WHEREAS, the Carralero Plaintiffs, the May Plaintiffs, and Defendant agree that the Parties will not be prejudiced by a stay pending the issuance of the Supreme

Court's decision in *Wolford*;

WHEREAS, the Parties do not intend for a stay to foreclose any Party from seeking an order from the Court to reopen discovery if that Party can satisfy the good cause standard for doing so based upon the Supreme Court's analysis (if any) in Wolford;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the Parties, through their undersigned counsel, subject to the Court's approval, as follows:

1. These cases are hereby stayed pending the Supreme Court's resolution of the recently-granted petition for a writ of certiorari in Wolford; and

1 2

3

4 5

7 8

6

10 11

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

2. The parties shall file a joint status report no later than fourteen days after the issuance of the Supreme Court's opinion in Wolford.

In the alternative, WHEREAS, the Carralero Plaintiffs, the May Plaintiffs, and Defendant intend to file motions for summary judgment which they believe could resolve this case in its entirety;

WHEREAS, the deadline for non-discovery motions to be heard is December 22, 2025;

WHEREAS, good cause exists to enter a stipulated briefing schedule because given the number of provisions of Senate Bill 2 challenged by Plaintiffs and the number of experts that Defendant has identified, the Parties would benefit from additional time to prepare their dispositive motions, and the resulting more streamlined presentation of argument would conserve judicial resources;

WHEREAS, good cause exists to enlarge the word count of the briefs, allowing a more streamlined and fulsome presentation of the issues of the case;

WHEREAS, the Parties have met and conferred and agreed, subject to the Court's approval, to the following briefing schedule:

- January 12, 2025 Deadline for the May Plaintiffs and Carralero Plaintiffs to file their motions for summary judgment;
- February 12, 2025 Deadline for Defendant to file his combined crossmotion for summary judgment and opposition to the May and Carralero Plaintiffs' motions for summary judgment;
- March 2, 2025 Deadline for the May and Carralero Plaintiffs to each file their respective combined opposition to Defendant's cross-motion for summary judgment and reply in support of their own motions for summary judgment;
- March 23, 2025 Deadline for Defendant to file his reply in support of his cross-motion for summary judgment;

April 13, 2025 (or as soon thereafter as the Court has availability) –
 Hearing on Plaintiffs' motions for summary judgment and Defendant's cross-motion for summary judgment.

WHEREAS, the Parties have met and conferred and agreed, subject to the Court's approval, to the following additional word count for their briefs:

- The *May* Plaintiffs and the *Carralero* Plaintiffs shall each have a 7,000-word limit for their briefs in support of their motions for summary judgment and shall be due on January 12, 2025;
- Defendant's combined brief in opposition to the *May* Plaintiffs' and *Carralero* Plaintiffs' motions for summary judgment and in support of his cross-motion for summary judgment shall have a 12,500-word limit;
- The *May* Plaintiffs' and the *Carralero* Plaintiffs' respective combined briefs opposing Defendant's motion for summary judgment and replying in support of their motions for summary judgment shall each have an 8,000-word limit;
- Defendant's reply in support of his cross-motion for summary judgment shall have a 10,000-word limit.

WHEREAS, given the requested streamlined briefing schedule, the Parties believe that it would promote judicial efficiency and conserve the resources of the Parties to continue other pre-trial dates until after the hearing on the cross-motions for summary judgment;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the Parties, through their undersigned counsel, subject to the Court's approval, as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs shall file their motions for summary judgment (of no longer than 7,000 words each) and supporting documents by January 12, 2026;
- 2. Defendant shall file his combined opposition and cross-motion for summary judgment (of no longer than 12,500 words) by February 9, 2026;

Case	8:23-cv-01696-MRA-ADS Document 78 Filed 10/09/25 Page 5 of 6 Page ID #:3168								
1	3. Plaintiffs shall each file their combined opposition and reply (of no longer								
2	than 8,000 words each) by March 2, 2026;								
3	4. Defendant shall file his reply (of no longer than 10,000 words) by March								
4	23, 2026;								
5	5. The matter will be heard by this Court on April 13, 2026, or as soon								
6	thereafter as it has availability;								
7	6. The last day to hear Daubert motions shall be continued to June 8, 2026;								
8	7. The settlement conference completion date shall be continued to June 29,								
9	2026;								
10	8. The filing deadline for motions in limine shall be continued to July 7,								
11	2026;								
12	9. The filing deadline for oppositions to motions in limine shall be continued								
13	to July 10, 2026;								
14	10. The final pretrial conference shall be held by this Court on August 3,								
15	2026, or as soon thereafter as it has availability.								
16	Dated: October 9, 2025 Respectfully submitted,								
17	Rob Bonta								
18	Attorney General of California MARK BECKINGTON								
19	Supervising Deputy Attorney General								
20									
21	/s/ Robert L. Meyerhoff Robert L. Meyerhoff								
22	Attorneys for Defendant Rob Bonta in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of California								
23	General of the State of California								
24									
25 26									
27									
28									
20									

Case	8:23-cv-0	01696-MRA-ADS	Document 78 #:3169	Filed 10/09/25 F	Page 6 of 6 Page ID
1	Dated:	October 9, 2025		Respectfully s	submitted,
2					
3				/s/ Anna M. E Anna M. Bar	<u>Barvir</u> RVIR
4				Michelle & A Attorneys for	Barvir RVIR ssociates, P.C. Plaintiffs
5					
6	Dated:	October 9, 2025		Respectfully s	submitted,
7		,		1	,
8				/s/ Stephen D	uvernay
9				/s/ Stephen D STEPHEN DUV Benbrook Law Attorneys for	YERNAY W Group, PC
10				Attorneys for	Plaintiffs
11	Dated:	October 9, 2025		Respectfully s	submitted,
12					
13				/s/ Donald Ki	lmer ATTORNEY AT LAN
14 15				Attorney for F	MER, ATTORNEY AT LAW Plaintiffs
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					